

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,016	03/31/2004	Ellen Glassman	Sony-06700	9225
23353 7590 12/13/2007 RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC		EXAMINER .		
LION BUILDING			CHOWDHURY, NIGAR	
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2621	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/815,016	GLASSMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Nigar Chowdhury	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M	<u>ay 2007</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·— · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7-13 and 22-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7-13 and 22-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 August 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	= : :	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	· /	ed.				
dec the attached detailed office action for a list	of the defined dopled not receive					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

10/815,016 Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 24, 31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claims 2, 5, 6, 14-21 are canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7-13, 22-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,757,479 by Niikawa in view of US Patent No. 6,329,787 by Ito et al. and US 2007/0271508 by Audet.
- 2. Regarding **claim 1**, Niikawa discloses a method comprising: (Fig. 1-3, Col. 2 line 45-51)
 - Storing content on a portable memory device, content including an image captured using a recorder/playback device (Fig. 1-3, Col. 2 line 50, 51)

10/815,016 Art Unit: 2621

> Detaching the portable memory device from the recorder/playback device (Col. 2 line 45-58, memory card 8 is "inserted" it means they can be detached)

Niikawa fails to disclose displaying the image on the portable memory device while the portable memory device is detached from the recorder/playback device and displaying functional controls for the recorder/playback device while the portable memory device is connected to the recorder/playback device.

Ito discloses displaying the image on the portable memory device while the portable memory device is detached from the recorder/playback device (Fig. 1, 2, Col. 3 lines 29-32)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the proposed combination of Niikawa's system to include a display unit, as taught by Ito, for the advantage of providing a viewing communication to the user for easy understanding.

Niikawa and Ito both fail to disclose displaying functional controls for the recorder/playback device while the portable memory device is connected to the recorder/playback device.

Audet discloses displaying functional controls for the recorder/playback device while the portable memory device is connected to the recorder/playback device (paragraph 0130, 0144, 0236).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the proposed combination of Niikawa and Ito's system to

10/815,016 Art Unit: 2621

include a functional controls, as taught by Audet, on the portable memory device for the viewers to communicate easily.

- 3. Regarding **claim 3**, Niikawa discloses the method further comprising transmitting the content from the recorder/playback device to the portable memory device. (Col. 2 line 50, 51, Col. 3 line 8-10)
- 4. Regarding **claim 4**, Ito discloses the method further comprising selecting the image for display on the portable memory device. (Col. 2 lines 20-32)
- 5. Regarding **claim 7**, Niikawa discloses the method further comprising a mode to display the image, wherein the mode includes displaying the image as part of sequentially displaying multiple pieces of content. (Fig. 7, Col. 6 line 36-42)
- 6. Regarding **claim 8**, Niikawa discloses the method further comprising selecting a mode to display the image, wherein the mode includes displaying the image as part of simultaneously displaying multiple pieces of content. (Fig. 7, Col. 6 line 36-42)
- 7. Regarding **claim 9**, Niikawa discloses the method wherein the recorder/playback device is a digital camera. (Col. 6 line 55-58)

Application/Control Number:

10/815,016 Art Unit: 2621

- 8. Regarding claim 10, Niikawa discloses the method wherein the recorder/playback device is a video camera (Col. 6 line 55-58)
- 9. Regarding **claim 11**, Audet discloses the method wherein the recorder/playback device is a audio recorder/player (paragraph 0130, 0144, 0161, 0236)
- 10. Regarding **claim 12**, Niikawa discloses the method wherein the recorder/playback device is a computer. (Col. 6 line 55-58)
- 11. Regarding **claim 13**, Audet discloses the method wherein the recorder/playback device is a television (paragraph 0223)
- 12. Regarding **claim 22**, Audet discloses the method wherein functional controls include soft keys that are particular to the recorder/playback device. (Soft key is a button, located along a display device, which performs whatever function is shown near it on that display. paragraph 0130, 0144, 0236)
- 13. Regarding **claim 23**, Ito discloses the method wherein the portable memory device is configured to include and attachment area to attach with battery charging device (Col. 2 lines 14-19) but Ito fails to disclose attachment area including a magnet for attaching the portable memory device to a metallic object, such that the portable memory device displays the image while attached to the metallic object.

Page 6

10/815,016 Art Unit: 2621

It is noted that the use of magnet for attaching to a metallic device is old and well-known in the recording art. Therefore, official notice is taken. Moreover, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a well-known magnet for attaching to a metallic device. User can easily attach a device without holding it while doing other work at the same time which will be more convenient.

- 14. Claim 24 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding method claim 1 above.
- 15. Claim 25 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding method claim 22 above.
- 16. Claim 26 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding method claim 23 above.
- 17. Regarding **claim 27**, Ito discloses the portable memory system wherein the interface means connects to and detaches from the recorder/playback device via a wireless connection (Fig. 1, portable device 14 is connected to a device 24 via a wireless connection).
- 18. Claim 28 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding method claim 7 above.

Application/Control Number:

10/815,016 Art Unit: 2621

- 19. Regarding **claim 29**, Niikawa discloses the method wherein the recorder/playback device is a digital camera. (Col. 6 line 55-58)
- 20. Claim 30 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding method claim 12 above.
- 21. Claim 31 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding method claim 1 above.
- 22. Claim 32 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding method claim 22 above.
- 23. Claim 33 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding method claim 23 above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nigar Chowdhury whose telephone number is 571-272-78890. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/815,016 Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NC 12/09/2007

